arXiv:1603.00840v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 2 Mar 2016

MINIMAL MULTI-VORTEX CONFIGURATIONS ON A FLAT TORUS AND CYLINDER

D. ARIAD[1], A. SEGAL[2], Y. AVISHAI[1] AND E. GROSFELD[1]

[1] - *Physics Department, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, POB 653, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel*

[2] - *School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel*

Abstract. We derive an expression for the phase $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ of the order parameter of a superfluid placed on a flat two-dimensional object (either cylinder or torus) in the presence of vortex defects. The function $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ is fully compatible with constraints imposed by the superfluid, by the vortices, and by the object's geometry. Most efforts are focused on the torus geometry. It is demonstrated that while any compact geometry requires a vortex defect to be accompanied by an anti-vortex defect, the flat torus geometry prescribes a minimum of two vortex anti-vortex pairs. A parallelogram, formed by these four defects, is the minimal configuration compatible with the torus periodic boundary conditions. It is shown that values of certain vortex configuration agree with those of harmonic Maass wave forms.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 00A79 (14D21, 11F11, 20C35)

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Generalizing the Argument function for a cylinder geometry 4
3. Generalizing the Argument function for a torus geometry 7
4. Vortex Configurations on Flat Right-Angled Tori 11
5. Phase Functions and Vortex Configuration on General Tori 14
6. Vortex Configurations as Maass Wave Forms 15
Acknowledgement 18
References 18
Appendix A. Notations 19

1. Introduction

This work is motivated by the quest for finding an exact mathematical expression for an often encountered quantity, in the analysis of a certain class of quantum many-body physical systems such as superfluids or superconductors, which undergo second-order quantum phase transition. We start by a brief description of the underlying physical system. A superfluid (or superconductor) is described by

E-mail address: grosfeld@bgu.ac.il.

a complex *order parameter* $\psi(\mathbf{r};T)$, where $\mathbf{r}$ is a spatial coordinate and T is an external real parameter that controls the symmetry of the system.

In a second-order phase transition there is a critical value T_c such that for $T > T_c$ the system has a high symmetry (encoded by a symmetry group $\mathcal{H}$) and for $T < T_c$ the system has a lower degree of symmetry (encoded by a symmetry group $\mathcal{L}$). A second order phase transition is encoded (among others) by the following properties: 1) $\psi(\mathbf{r};T) \equiv 0$ for $T > T_c$ and $\psi(\mathbf{r};T) \neq 0$ for $T < T_c$ (except for possible isolated points $\{\mathbf{r}_i\}$ referred to as *nodes* where $\psi(\mathbf{r}_i;T) = 0$). 2) $\psi(\mathbf{r};T)$ is continuous in T, at T_c, but its derivative $\partial_T\psi(\mathbf{r};T)$ is discontinuous. 3) $\mathcal{L}$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, the symmetry is broken at a second-order phase transition. Henceforth we assume that $T < T_c$ and drop the T dependence wherever no confusion may arise.

It is useful to write $\psi(\mathbf{r};T) = |\psi(\mathbf{r};T)|\exp(i\theta(\mathbf{r};T))$ because, as it turns out, the absolute value $|\psi(\mathbf{r})|$ is rather smooth (sometimes even a constant). Most of the physical content is encoded in the phase function $\theta(\mathbf{r})$, to which we dedicate our attention. For various physical reasons, it is advantageous to require that the support of $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is either a two-dimensional cylinder or a two-dimensional torus. Thus, our main goal is to study the phase function $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ for systems where the order parameter is defined on a cylinder or a torus, and has an even number of nodes referred to as *vortices* or *anti-vortices*. Strictly speaking, quantum vortices are more than just nodes of the order parameter. They are topological defects, characterized by a finite quantized winding number, which appear in superfluids and superconductors (charged superfluids) when subject to a rotation or a magnetic field, respectively. Vortices play an important role in phase transitions such as the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition[4] and transport properties such as flux-flow resistivity[3]. Recently, interest is also focused on the quantum statistics of vortices in two dimensions, which, in some cases, appears to be non-Abelian.

As an example where a field $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ needs to be calculated, we briefly analyze a relatively simple physical model, that is the two dimensional XY-model [5], whose physics apply to superfluid and superconducting films as well. Consider a two-dimensional (2D) square lattice and assign a 2D unit vector $\mathbf{S}_i = (\cos\theta_i, \sin\theta_i)$ (rotor) on each lattice site $\mathbf{r}_i = n_i\hat{\mathbf{x}} + m_i\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ (n_i, m_i being integers and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ are unit vectors along the Cartesian axes in the Euclidean plane). The dynamics of the XY model is governed by the Hamiltonian

$$H = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j), \tag{1}$$

where $\langle i,j\rangle$ denotes nearest neighbour sites such that $|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j| = a$ (the lattice constant). Assuming the direction of the rotors varies smoothly from site to site we can expand the cosine to second order in the difference of angles and replace the discrete difference operator by the continuous Laplacian operator treating $\mathbf{r}_i \to \mathbf{r}$ as a continuous variable. This leads to the continuum Hamiltonian

$$H = E_0 + \frac{J}{2}\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}(\nabla\theta)^2. \tag{2}$$

Here $E_0 = 2JN$ is the energy of the completely aligned ground state of N rotors. The XY-model has no second-order phase transition since the rotor expectation value remains zero for any finite temperature. However, a transition from a disordered phase to a quasi-ordered phase can occur. This (so called) Kosterlitz-Thouless transition, is revealed through the correlation between two spatially

separated rotors, but this point will not be elaborated upon here. The important point to stress here is that *the physics encoded in the field $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ in the present XY model is the same as that of a 2D superfluid whose order parameter phase is $\theta(\mathbf{r})$*. Therefore, it is worth analyzing the structure of the field $\theta(\mathbf{r})$. The field configurations corresponding to local minima of H satisfy the condition

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta\theta(\mathbf{r})} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla^2\theta(\mathbf{r}) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Equation (3) admits two types of solutions. The first consists of ground-state configurations, $\theta(\mathbf{r}) = C$, where C is a constant. The second consists of multi vortex configurations which have quantized vorticity at a finite set of points $\mathbf{R}_k$ with $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, that is, $\nabla \times \nabla\theta(\mathbf{r}) = \pi \sum_{k=1}^{K} s_k \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_k)\hat{n}$. Here $s_k = \pm$ for vortex/anti-vortex, and $\hat{n}$ is the normal to the surface.

There are some superconductors that extend the XY model in a non-trivial way. Among which, the *chiral p-wave superconductor* model, captures special interest due to its potential applications in quantum computation[6]. A 2D p-wave superconductor supports vortices which bind Majorana fermion zero energy states[2]. These mobile objects exhibit non-Abelian braiding statistics and can potentially be used to construct non-local qubits. The qubits are naturally immune to errors since braiding vortices is sensitive only to the topology of the trajectories, and not to their geometry or dynamics. Capturing the statistics of vortices can benefit from placing the superconductor on compact geometries where the vortices can be kept isolated from edge effects.

Elucidating the properties of superfluid in compact geometries is of particular interest for two reasons. First, field theoretical calculations often make use of periodic boundary conditions that maintain translation invariance. Second, numerical procedures with periodic boundary conditions avoid the occurrence of edge effects that may obscure the physical properties related to the bulk system. In some cases, there is a definite procedure (based on scaling arguments) to deduce the properties of an infinite system from those of a finite system with periodic boundary conditions. This kind of extension is referred to as *the thermodynamic limit*. Natural examples of flat and compact manifolds for such calculations is the cylinder or the torus.

To study a superfluid residing on a cylinder or a torus we need to properly specify its order parameter, compatible with the pertinent geometry and the occurrence of vortices. In particular, its phase $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ needs to satisfy the conditions for a multi-vortex configuration defined above. The requirement that the order parameter is single valued implies the relation $\oint_1 \nabla\theta \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 2\pi n_1$ (and $\oint_2 \nabla\theta \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 2\pi n_2$) where the integration contour is chosen around the hole of the cylinder (equivalently the two holes of the torus). Here n_1 (and n_2) are the number of vortices through the hole(s). This relation defines an equivalence class of phase functions. Explicitly, we say that two phase functions $\varphi'(\mathbf{r})$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{r})$ are equivalent iff their difference, $f = \varphi' - \varphi$, satisfies $\nabla \times \nabla f = 0$ and $\oint_i \nabla f \cdot dr = 0$ for any path around the two holes (numbered as $i = 1, 2$).

A useful method to analyze vortices in a superfluid residing on a flat torus (or a flat cylinder) is that of *image vortices*. The pertinent geometry is embedded in a 2D Euclidean space as a parallelogram of size $q_x \times q_y$, which is then replicated infinite many times by tiling the parallelograms with no overlaps in in the x and y directions (or in the y direction for the cylinder). Then, the arguments of the vectors connecting a point (x, y) on the torus (or the cylinder) and the entire set of image vortices, occupying lattice points $(x_0 + mq_x, y_0 + nq_y)$ with $m, n \in \mathcal{Z}$ are summed (replaced by a summation over n only

for the cylinder). Namely, the toroidal phase function is given by the expression

$$\lim_{A\longrightarrow\infty}\sum_{n,m=-A}^{A}\operatorname{Arg}\left(\Delta x-mq_x+i(\Delta y-nq_y)\right), \tag{4}$$

where A denotes the shell number and $\Delta x=x-x_0$ ($\Delta y=y-y_0$). Roughly speaking, this summation can be organized as a sum over "shells" of images (numbered as $k=1,2,\ldots$) around the origin (with shell $k+1$ farther than shell k), and a summation within each shell. The sum rapidly converges (modulo π) with the number K of shells. (a rigorous statement and proof are given below). The outcome is then lifted to the interval $[0,2\pi)$ (in the exact manner that the function atan2 is a lift of the function arctan). This procedure leads us to *the main result of the article*: A precise definition and a closed form expression, for the phase functions for the cylinder and the torus in the presence of vortices, as appear in definitions 2.4 and 3.3, respectively.

Our analysis reveals peculiar characteristics of the torus phase function as appear in corollaries 4.5 and 4.6. While the compact geometry necessitates every vortex will be accompanied by an anti-vortex, the flat geometry prescribes another constraint. For the cylinder, trivially (although not compact), this will result in every vortex being accompanied by an anti-vortex. More interestingly, for the torus, every vortex line penetrates the torus in exactly four points, which are always on the corners of a parallelogram (accordingly, if a torus is prepared by gluing a piece of paper cut to a parallelogram across two pairs of parallel edges and flattening it, then punching a hole through the paper will generate another parallelogram, with the two vortices placed on opposing vertices, and the two anti-vortices on the other opposing pair).

The structure of this paper is as follows: In sections 2 and 3 we discuss the argument function on flat cylinders and tori respectively. This is carried out by averaging, in a compact space $\mathbb{R}\Big/_{\pi\mathbb{Z}}$, over the arguments of all copies of the point in the plane. We then lift these functions to functions from $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}\Big/_{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$ which agrees, for nearby points, with the usual argument function. In section 4 we use the argument function defined in section 3 to study placements of vortices on "*right-angled*" tori. In section 5 we rewrite the phase function and the vortex configurations for general tori. In section 6 we consider certain vortex configurations on a fundamental domain of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and prove that they can be extended to an harmonic Maass wave form on the upper half-plane. We refer the the reader to the appendix for definitions and properties of certain functions used in the paper.

2. Generalizing the Argument function for a cylinder geometry

In this section we generalize the argument function to a flat cylinder C of circumference $q_y>0$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $A\in\mathbb{N}$ and $z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}$ it holds that*

$$\sum_{n=-A}^{A}\operatorname{Log}(z+n)\equiv\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(A+1+z)\,\Gamma(A+1-z)}{\Gamma(z)\,\Gamma(-z)}\right)-\operatorname{Log}z+\operatorname{Log}(-1)^{A+1}\pmod{2\pi i}$$

Proof. We first note that due to the functional equation of Γ it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{A}\operatorname{Log}(z+n)=\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(z+A+1)}{\Gamma(z)}\right)$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=-A}^{A}\operatorname{Log}(z+n) &= \sum_{n=0}^{A}\left(\operatorname{Log}(z+n)+\operatorname{Log}(z-n)\right)-\operatorname{Log}z\\ &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{A}\left(\operatorname{Log}(z+n)+\operatorname{Log}(-z+n)+\operatorname{Log}(-1)\right)-\operatorname{Log}z \pmod{2\pi i}\\ &\equiv \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(A+1+z)\,\Gamma(A+1-z)}{\Gamma(z)\,\Gamma(-z)}\right)-\operatorname{Log}z+\operatorname{Log}(-1)^{A+1} \pmod{2\pi i}\end{aligned}$$

□

We now consider the following series in $\mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=-A}^{A}\operatorname{Arg}(x+i(y+nq_y)) &= \frac{i}{2}\sum_{n=-A}^{A}\left[\operatorname{Log}(x-i(y+nq_y))-\operatorname{Log}(x+i(y+nq_y))\right]\\ &\equiv \frac{i}{2}\sum_{n=-A}^{A}\left[\operatorname{Log}(i\overline{z}+n)-\operatorname{Log}(iz+n)\right] \pmod{\pi},\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $z=\frac{x+iy}{q_y}$.

Recall from [7, eq. 3.7] that for any $z\in\mathbb{C}$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = ze^{\gamma z}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)e^{-\frac{z}{n}} \tag{6}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)\,\Gamma(-z)} = -z^2\prod_{n\neq 0}\left(1-\frac{z}{n}\right)e^{\frac{z}{n}}. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, Weierstrass factorization theorem states that

$$\sin(\pi z) = \pi z\prod_{n\neq 0}\left(1-\frac{z}{n}\right)e^{\frac{z}{n}}. \tag{8}$$

Combining the above yields

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)\,\Gamma(-z)} = -\frac{z\sin(\pi z)}{\pi}. \tag{9}$$

Plugging this into eq. (5) and applying Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=-A}^{A}\operatorname{Arg}(x+i(y+nq_y))\\ &\equiv \frac{i}{2}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\overline{z}\sinh(\pi\overline{z})}{z\sinh(\pi z)}\right)+\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+A+i\overline{z})\,\Gamma(1+A-i\overline{z})}{\Gamma(1+A+iz)\,\Gamma(1+A-iz)}\right)-\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\overline{z}}{z}\right)\right] \pmod{\pi}\\ &\equiv \frac{i}{2}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\sinh(\pi\overline{z})}{\sinh(\pi z)}\right)+\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+A+i\overline{z})\,\Gamma(1+A-i\overline{z})}{\Gamma(1+A+iz)\,\Gamma(1+A-iz)}\right)\right] \pmod{\pi}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We now note that

$$\lim_{A\to\infty}\frac{\Gamma(1+A+i\overline{z})\,\Gamma(1+A-i\overline{z})}{\Gamma(1+A+iz)\,\Gamma(1+A-iz)} = 1.$$

In conclusion, we proved the following:

Proposition 2.2. *The principal value* $\lim_{A\to\infty}\sum_{n=-A}^{A}\operatorname{Arg}\left(x+i\left(y+nq_y\right)\right)$ *is convergent in* $\mathbb{R}\Big/\pi\mathbb{Z}$. *Furthermore, it holds that*

$$\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{V}.\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\operatorname{Arg}\left(x+i\left(y+nq_y\right)\right)\equiv\frac{i}{2}\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\sinh\left(\pi\overline{z}\right)}{\sinh\left(\pi z\right)}\right)\pmod{\pi}.$$

Remark 2.3. We note here that the series in Proposition 2.2 is not converging neither in $\mathbb{R}$ nor $\mathbb{R}\Big/\pi\mathbb{Z}$. However, as was shown, the principal value is convergent.

We note that

$$\frac{i}{2}\left(\operatorname{Log}\left(\sinh\left(\pi\overline{z}\right)\right)-\operatorname{Log}\left(\sinh\left(\pi z\right)\right)\right),$$

is well defined in $\mathbb{R}\Big/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.

The averaging process

$$\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{V}.\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\operatorname{Arg}\left(x+i\left(y+nq_y\right)\right)$$

described above which converge, modulo π, leads to a function defined modulo 2π (in the exact manner that atan2 is a lift of arctan as shown in diagram 29).

Definition 2.4. The cylindric phase function $g:\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}_{>0}\to\mathbb{R}\Big/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ is defined to be

$$\Phi^C\left(x,y,q_y\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(\sinh\left(\frac{\pi}{q_y}\left(x+iy\right)\right)\right)\right].$$

The manner in which the function Φ^C represents a lift of the above mentioned principal value is elucidated in following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $\phi^C\left(x,y,q_y\right)=\frac{i}{2}\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi}{q_y}\left(x-iy\right)\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi}{q_y}\left(x+iy\right)\right)}\right)$. *The phase function* Φ^C *is a lift of* ϕ *in the sense that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{R}\Big/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \\ & \overset{\Phi^C}{\nearrow} & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{\phi^C} & \mathbb{R}\Big/\pi\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

Remark 2.6. The phase function, $\Phi^C\left(x,y,q_y\right)$ is periodic in y, namely

$$\Phi^C\left(x,y+2q_y,q_y\right)=\Phi^C\left(x,y,q_y\right). \tag{11}$$

While $\Phi^C\left(x,y,q_y\right)$ is not well defined on the cylinder C, we consider the function

$$\Theta\left(\mathbf{r}\right)=\Phi^C\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_1\right)-\Phi^C\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_2\right)$$

where

$$\mathbf{r}=\left(x,y\right),\mathbf{r}_1=\left(x_1,y_1\right),\mathbf{r}_2=\left(x_2,y_2\right)\in\mathbb{R}^2.$$

Proposition 2.7. *This is a placement of a vortex and anti-vortex on a cylinder that no flux flows through its annulus, namely it is q_y-periodical.*

An illustration of this can be seen in Fig.(1).

We have

Lemma 2.8. $\Theta(x, y+q_y) = \Theta(x,y)$ *for any* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. *Namely,* Θ *factors through* C



3. Generalizing the Argument function for a torus geometry

In this section we generalize the argument function to a flat torus T of circumferences $q_x, q_y > 0$. Namely, we prove the following theorem under a certain conjecture which will be mentioned later.

Theorem 3.1. *The principal value* $\lim_{A\to\infty} \sum_{m,n=-A}^{A} \operatorname{Arg}(x + mq_x + i(y+nq_y))$ *is convergent in* $\mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$. *Furthermore, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{V}. \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Arg}(x + mq_x + i(y+nq_y)) \\
&\equiv \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Log}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i y}{q_y}} \frac{\vartheta\left(\frac{ix+y}{q_y} + \frac{iq_x+q_y}{2q_y}, \frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}{\vartheta\left(\frac{ix-y}{q_y} + \frac{iq_x+q_y}{2q_y}, \frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)} \right) - \frac{4xy}{q_x q_y} \arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right) \pmod{\pi},
\end{aligned}$$

converges in $\mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$. *Here* arctan *is the arctangent function with image in* $(-\pi, \pi]$.

We consider the following sum in $\mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$

$$\sum_{m,n=-A}^{A} \operatorname{Arg}(x + mq_x + i(y+nq_y)) = \sum_{m=-A}^{A} \left(\sum_{n=-A}^{A} \operatorname{Arg}(x + mq_x + i(y+nq_y)) \right).$$

Applying eq. (10) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m,n=-A}^{A} \operatorname{Arg}(x + mq_x + i(y+nq_y)) \\
&= \sum_{m=-A}^{A} \left(\sum_{n=-A}^{A} \operatorname{Arg}(x + mq_x + i(y+nq_y)) \right) \\
&\equiv \sum_{m=-A}^{A} \frac{i}{2} \left[\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\sinh(\pi\overline{z_m})}{\sinh(\pi z_m)} \right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+A+i\overline{z_m})\,\Gamma(1+A-i\overline{z_m})}{\Gamma(1+A+iz_m)\,\Gamma(1+A-iz_m)} \right) \right] \pmod{\pi},
\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 1. For of a square lattice 20×20 we show contour plot of $\Phi^C(x,y)$ in figure (a) and of $\Theta(x,y) = \Phi^C(x-5,y-5) - \Phi^C(x-15,y-15)$ in figure (b). In addition, We present in figure (c) a stream plot of the complex scalar field $\exp\left[i\Phi^C(x,y)\right]$ and in figure (d) a stream plot of $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Theta(x,y)$.

where $z_m = \frac{x+mq_x+iy}{q_y}$. We start by considering the following sum

$$\sum_{m=-A}^{A} \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\sinh(\pi\overline{z_m})}{\sinh(\pi z_m)}\right) \equiv \operatorname{Log}\left(\prod_{m=-A}^{A} \frac{\sinh(\pi\overline{z_m})}{\sinh(\pi z_m)}\right) \pmod{\pi}.$$

It holds that

$$\prod_{m=-A}^{A} \frac{\sinh(\pi\overline{z_m})}{\sinh(\pi z_m)} = \prod_{m=-A}^{A} \frac{e^{\pi\overline{z_m}} - e^{-\pi\overline{z_m}}}{e^{\pi z_m} - e^{-\pi z_m}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\pi z_0} - e^{\pi z_0}}{e^{\pi\overline{z_0}} - e^{\pi\overline{z_0}}} \prod_{m=0}^{A} \left(\frac{e^{\pi\overline{z_m}}\left(1 - e^{-2\pi\overline{z_m}}\right)}{e^{\pi z_m}\left(1 - e^{-2\pi z_m}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{e^{-\pi\overline{z_{-m}}}\left(1 - e^{2\pi\overline{z_{-m}}}\right)}{e^{-\pi z_{-m}}\left(1 - e^{2\pi z_{-m}}\right)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\sinh(\pi z_0)}{\sinh(\pi \overline{z_0})} \prod_{m=0}^{A} \frac{\left(1-e^{-2\pi \overline{z_m}}\right)\left(1-e^{2\pi \overline{z_{-m}}}\right)}{\left(1-e^{-2\pi z_m}\right)\left(1-e^{2\pi z_{-m}}\right)}.$$

Using eq. (34) one checks that

$$\prod_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(\pi \overline{z_m})}{\sinh(\pi z_m)} = e^{\frac{2\pi i y}{q_y}} \frac{\vartheta\left(\frac{ix+y}{q_y} + \frac{iq_x+q_y}{2q_y}, \frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}{\vartheta\left(\frac{ix-y}{q_y} + \frac{iq_x+q_y}{2q_y}, \frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{i}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\sinh(\pi \overline{z_m})}{\sinh(\pi z_m)}\right) \equiv \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Log}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i y}{q_y}} \frac{\vartheta\left(\frac{ix+y}{q_y} + \frac{iq_x+q_y}{2q_y}, \frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}{\vartheta\left(\frac{ix-y}{q_y} + \frac{iq_x+q_y}{2q_y}, \frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}\right) \pmod{\pi}.$$

We now turn to deal with

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} \sum_{m=-A}^{A} \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+A+i\overline{z_m})\,\Gamma(1+A-i\overline{z_m})}{\Gamma(1+A+iz_m)\,\Gamma(1+A-iz_m)}\right) \tag{12}$$

In what follows, we assume the following conjecture to hold

Conjecture 3.2. *For any* $A \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} \sum_{m=-A}^{A} \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+A+i\overline{z_m})\,\Gamma(1+A-i\overline{z_m})}{\Gamma(1+A+iz_m)\,\Gamma(1+A-iz_m)}\right)$$
$$= \lim_{A\to\infty} \int_{-A}^{A} \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+A+i\overline{z_m})\,\Gamma(1+A-i\overline{z_m})}{\Gamma(1+A+iz_m)\,\Gamma(1+A-iz_m)}\right) dm.$$

This conjecture is supported by many numerical calculations performed.

Recall from [1, eq. 11] that the for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ it holds that

$$\int_0^z \operatorname{Log}\Gamma(x)\,dx = \frac{(1-z)\,z}{2} + \frac{z}{2}\log(2\pi) - \zeta'(-1) + \zeta'_z(-1). \tag{13}$$

We write

$$J_1(A) = \int_{-A}^{A} \operatorname{Log}\Gamma\left(A+1-\frac{i(x+mq_x)+y}{q_y}\right) dm,$$

$$J_2(A) = \int_{-A}^{A} \operatorname{Log}\Gamma\left(A+1+\frac{i(x+mq_x)+y}{q_y}\right) dm,$$

$$J_3(A) = \int_{-A}^{A} \operatorname{Log}\Gamma\left(A+1+\frac{i(x+mq_x)-y}{q_y}\right) dm,$$

$$J_4(A) = \int_{-A}^{A} \operatorname{Log}\Gamma\left(A+1-\frac{i(x+mq_x)-y}{q_y}\right) dm.$$

Inserting this and eq. (13) into eq. (12) and taking the limits $A \to \infty$ yields

$$\frac{i}{2}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(1+A+i\overline{z_m}\right)\Gamma\left(1+A-i\overline{z_m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+A+iz_m\right)\Gamma\left(1+A-iz_m\right)}\right)\equiv-\frac{4xy}{q_xq_y}\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)\pmod{\pi}. \tag{14}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. We therefore make the following definition:

Adopting a slightly different notation and taking the first Jacobi theta function

$$\vartheta_1\left(z,\tau\right)=-e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i\tau+\pi i\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\vartheta\left(z+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\tau,\tau\right),$$

and applying A.3, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{V}.\sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty}\operatorname{Arg}\left(x+mq_x+i\left(y+nq_y\right)\right)\\&\equiv\frac{i}{2}\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{ix+y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{ix-y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}\right)-\frac{4xy}{q_xq_y}\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)\pmod{\pi}\\&\equiv\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-ix+y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)\right)\right]-\frac{4xy}{q_xq_y}\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)\pmod{\pi}.\end{aligned}$$

The averaging process

$$\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{V}.\sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty}\operatorname{Arg}\left(x+mq_x+i\left(y+nq_y\right)\right)$$

described above which converges modulo π leads to a function defined modulo 2π (in the exact manner that atan2 is a lift of arctan as shown in diagram 29).

Definition 3.3. The toral phase function $\Phi^T:\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}_{>0}\to{}^{\mathbb{R}}\!\Big/_{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined to be

$$\Phi^T\left(x,y,q_x,q_y\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-ix+y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)\right)\right]-\frac{4xy}{q_xq_y}\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right). \tag{15}$$

The manner in which the function Φ^T represents a lift of the above mentioned principal value is elucidated in following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $\phi^T\left(x,y,q_x,q_y\right)=\frac{i}{2}\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{ix+y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{ix-y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)}\right)-\frac{4xy}{q_xq_y}\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)$. *The phase function* Φ^T *is a lift of* ϕ^T *in the sense that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}&&{}^{\mathbb{R}}\!\Big/_{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}\\&{}^{\Phi^T}\nearrow&\downarrow\\\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}_{>0}&\xrightarrow{\phi^T}&{}^{\mathbb{R}}\!\Big/_{\pi\mathbb{Z}}\end{array}$$

Remark 3.5. For $0<r\ll\min\left\{q_x,q_y\right\}$ we have

$$\phi=\Phi^T\left(r\cos\phi,r\sin\phi\right)+o\left(r\right)\quad\forall\phi\in{}^{\mathbb{R}}\!\Big/_{2\pi}.$$

Remark 3.6. In the process of this computation we first "folded" the plane to a cylinder about the x-axis and then "folded" the cylinder to a torus about the y-axis. We now describe the result of "folding" the plane about the y-axis first instead. It follows from eq. (35) that

$$\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-x-iy}{q_x},\frac{iq_y}{q_x}\right)=-i\sqrt{\frac{q_x}{q_y}}e^{\pi\frac{q_y}{q_x}\left(\frac{-ix+y}{q_y}\right)^2}\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-ix+y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right).$$

Inserting this into eq. (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-ix+y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)\right)\right]\\&=\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i^2\sqrt{\frac{q_y}{q_x}}e^{-\pi\frac{q_y}{q_x}\left(\frac{-ix+y}{q_y}\right)^2}\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-x-iy}{q_x},\frac{iq_y}{q_x}\right)\right)\right]\\&\equiv\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-x-iy}{q_x},\frac{iq_y}{q_x}\right)\right)\right]+\frac{2\pi xy}{q_xq_y}+\frac{\pi}{2}\pmod{2\pi},\end{aligned}$$

and hence, since $\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}-\arctan\left(\frac{q_y}{q_x}\right)$, it follows that

$$\Phi^T(x,y,q_x,q_y)=\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{x-iy}{q_x},\frac{iq_y}{q_x}\right)\right)\right]+\frac{4xy}{q_xq_y}\arctan\left(\frac{q_y}{q_x}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{16}$$

At first glance, this is different from the expected expression

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-iy+x}{q_x},\frac{iq_y}{q_x}\right)\right)\right]-\frac{4xy}{q_xq_y}\arctan\left(\frac{q_y}{q_x}\right).$$

However, eq. (16) takes into account the orientation of the coordinate system on the torus, namely we take the local basis corresponding to $\langle -y,x\rangle$ and rotate by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians anti clock-wise.

4. Vortex Configurations on Flat Right-Angled Tori

In this section, we consider possible placements of vortices on a flat right-angled torus of the form

$$\Lambda=q_x\mathbb{Z}\widehat{x}+q_y\mathbb{Z}\widehat{y}$$

for $q_x,q_y>0$. We also characterize families of such vortex configurations defined for all flat right-angled torus. We start by constructing a vortex configuration on the plane. Namely, for $\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{m-1}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and $k_0,...,k_{m-1}\in\mathbb{Z}$ let

$$\Theta(\mathbf{r})=\Theta_{\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,...,\mathbf{r}_{m-1},k_0,...,k_{m-1}}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}k_i\Phi^T(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i,q_x,q_y). \tag{17}$$

We pose the following questions:

Question 4.1. *For which configurations $\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{m-1}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and $k_0,...,k_{m-1}\in\mathbb{Z}$ the function $\Theta_{\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,...,\mathbf{r}_{m-1},k_0,...,k_{m-1}}(\mathbf{r})$ is Λ-periodic for a given rank 2 free lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^2$?*

Question 4.2. *For which configurations $\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{m-1}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and $k_0,...,k_{m-1}\in\mathbb{Z}$ the function $\Theta_{\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,...,\mathbf{r}_{m-1},k_0,...,k_{m-1}}(\mathbf{r})$ is Λ-periodic for any rank 2 free lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^2$?*

In order to answer these questions we write

$$\Phi^T(x,y)=\underbrace{\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-ix+y}{q_y},\frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)\right)\right]}_{u(x,y)}-\underbrace{\frac{4xy}{q_xq_y}\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)}_{v(x,y)}. \tag{18}$$



FIGURE 2. For of a square lattice 20×20 we show contour plot of $\Phi^T(x,y)$ in figure (a) and of $\Theta(x,y) = \Phi^T(x-5,y-15) + \Phi^T(x-5,y-15) - \Phi^T(x-5,y-5) - \Phi^T(x-15,y-15)$ in figure (b). In addition, We present in figure (c) a stream plot of the complex scalar field $\exp\left[i\Phi^T(x,y)\right]$ and in figure (d) a stream plot of $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Theta(x,y)$. The values appearing in the legend of the contour plots are modulo 2π.

We may write

$$\Theta(\mathbf{r}) = U(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r})$$
$$U(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i u(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$$
$$V(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i).$$

Theorem 4.3. (1) *Given right-angled rank 2 free lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, $\Theta_{\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{m-1},k_0,\ldots,k_{m-1}}(\mathbf{r})$ is Λ-periodic if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i = 0 \\ \dfrac{4\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)}{q_x} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi} \\ \dfrac{2\pi - 4\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)}{q_y} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi} \end{cases}$$

(2) *$\Theta_{\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_{m-1},k_0,\ldots,k_{m-1}}(\mathbf{r})$ is Λ-periodic for any right-angled rank 2 free lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i x_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i y_i = 0.$$

Remark 4.4. The right-angled condition in the theorem is not necessary, but is convenient in the proof.

Proof. From eq. (33) follows the periodicity $u(x,y) = u(x,y+q_y)$ of u and hence

$$U(x,y+q_y) = U(x,y). \tag{19}$$

It follows from eq. (33) that

$$\vartheta_1(z-\tau,\tau) = e^{-\pi i\tau + 2\pi i z + \pi i}\vartheta_1(z,\tau) \tag{20}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} u(x+q_x,y) &= \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-i(x+q_x)+y}{q_y}, \frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)\right)\right] \\ &= \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(\frac{-ix+y}{q_y} - \frac{iq_x}{q_y}, \frac{iq_x}{q_y}\right)\right)\right] \\ &\equiv u(x,y) + \frac{2\pi y}{q_y} + \pi \pmod{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

It follows that

$$U(x+q_x,y) \equiv U(x,y) + \frac{2\pi}{q_y}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i y_i + \pi \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i \pmod{2\pi}. \tag{22}$$

We note that $V(x,y)$ can be rewritten as

$$V(x,y) = \frac{4}{q_x q_y}\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i\right)xy + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i x_i y_i - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i y_i\right)x - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i x_i\right)y\right]\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right).$$

Combining the above yields

$$\Theta(x+q_x,y) \equiv \Theta(x,y) + \frac{2\pi}{q_y}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i y_i + \pi\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i + \frac{4}{q_y}\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i\right)y - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i y_i\right]\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right) \pmod{2\pi}$$

$$\Theta(x,y+q_y) \equiv \Theta(x,y) + \frac{4}{q_x}\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i\right)x - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k_i x_i\right)\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right) \pmod{2\pi}.$$

We aim at

$$\Theta(x+q_x,y)\equiv\Theta(x,y+q_y)\equiv\Theta(x,y)\pmod{2\pi}$$

for any $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$ and this happens if and only if

$$\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}k_i=0\\ \displaystyle\frac{4}{q_x}\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}k_ix_i\equiv 0\pmod{2\pi}\\ \displaystyle\frac{2\pi-4\arctan\left(\frac{q_x}{q_y}\right)}{q_y}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}k_iy_i\equiv 0\pmod{2\pi}\end{cases}$$

This yields the first assertion. The second assertion follows by requiring that these congruences hold for all $q_x,q_y>0$. □

Considering the case $m=2$ and $m=4$ with $k_i=(-1)^i$. We draw two immediate corollaries.

Corollary 4.5. *There is no possible vortex configuration $\Theta_{\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r}_1,1,-1}(\mathbf{r})$ (a vortex-antivortex pair) which is Λ-periodic for all right-angled rank 2 free lattices Λ in $\mathbb{R}^2$.*

Corollary 4.6. *The vortex configuration $\Theta_{\mathbf{r}_0,\dots,\mathbf{r}_3,1,\dots,-1}(\mathbf{r})$ is Λ-periodic for all right-angled rank 2 free lattices Λ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ if and only if $\mathbf{r}_0\mathbf{r}_1$, $\mathbf{r}_2$ and $\mathbf{r}_3$ are the edges of a a parallelogram where $\mathbf{r}_0$ is opposed to $\mathbf{r}_2$ and $\mathbf{r}_1$ is opposed to $\mathbf{r}_3$; namely the vortices are placed on an opposing pair of vertices and the antivortices on the other opposing pair.*

Remark 4.7. Note that it is possible that $\mathbf{r}_0=\mathbf{r}_2$ or $\mathbf{r}_1=\mathbf{r}_3$ and the parallelogram becomes a line.

5. Phase Functions and Vortex Configuration on General Tori

In previous sections we discussed the phase function and vortex configurations on right-angled tori. In certain instances it is beneficial to describe them on general tori. We rewrite Equation (15) using the following change of variables

$$z=x+iy,\ \tau_1=iq_x,\ \tau_2=q_y$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^T(z,\tau_1,\tau_2)&=\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-\frac{iz}{\tau_2},\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}\right)\right)\right]-\frac{2i\operatorname{Im}(z^2)}{\tau_1\tau_2}\arctan\left(-i\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}\right)\\&=\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-\frac{iz}{\tau_2},\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}\right)\right)-\frac{2iz^2}{\tau_1\tau_2}\arctan\left(-i\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}\right)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathbb{H}=\{\tau\in\mathbb{C}\mid\operatorname{Re}(\tau)>0\}$$

be the upper half-plane.

Definition 5.1. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ which are not co-linear and such that $\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \in \mathbb{H}$. The phase function on the torus $\mathbb{C}\Big/\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2$ is defined to be

$$\Phi^T(z, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-\frac{iz}{\tau_2}, \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}\right)\right) - \frac{2iz^2}{\tau_1\tau_2}\arctan\left(-i\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}\right)\right]. \tag{23}$$

Remark 5.2. We note that τ_2 is a mediator of scalings and rotations on the torus. Any combination of such operations can be viewed as multiplying z by $re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ (which yields a scaling by $r > 0$ and a rotation by an angle of θ). We note that it holds that

$$\Phi^T\left(re^{i\theta}z, \tau_1, \tau_2\right) = \Phi^T\left(z, \frac{1}{r}e^{-i\theta}\tau_1, \frac{1}{r}e^{-i\theta}\tau_2\right). \tag{24}$$

Namely, rotation of z by θ and scaling by r is equivalent to a rotation of τ_1 and τ_2 by $-\theta$ and a scaling by $\frac{1}{r}$. In particular, note that

$$\frac{\frac{1}{r}e^{-i\theta}\tau_1}{\frac{1}{r}e^{-i\theta}\tau_2} = \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \in \mathbb{H}.$$

We further recall that for lattices $\Lambda, \Lambda' \subset \mathbb{C}$ the exist a biholomorphic isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}\Big/\Lambda$ and $\mathbb{C}\Big/\Lambda'$ if and only if there exists $w \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\Lambda = w\Lambda'$.

For points $z_0, ..., z_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k_0, ..., k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define a vortex configuration to be

$$\Theta(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i \Phi^T(z - z_i, \tau_1, \tau_2). \tag{25}$$

As mentioned in 4.4, one can show that 4.3 holds also for vortex configurations on a general tori. In the next section, we will show that for $n = 4$, placing the vortices on a square aligned in $\frac{\pi}{4}$ radians and normalizing the system so that τ_2 the vortex configuration at $z = 0$ takes the values of an harmonic Maass form.

6. Vortex Configurations as Maass Wave Forms

In this section we show that certain vortex configurations agree with the values of certain harmonic Maass wave forms. We recall the fundamental domain

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{\tau \in \mathbb{H} \middle| |z| \geq 1,\ |\operatorname{Re} z| \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\}$$

of $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, illustrated in Figure 3.

Plugging $\tau_2 = 1$ and $\tau = \tau_1$ in 5.1 yields

$$\Phi^T(z, \tau) = \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1(-iz, \tau)\right) + \frac{2iz^2}{\tau}\arctan(-i\tau)\right].$$

Definition 6.1. For $(z, \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{F}$ we let

$$\Psi(z, \tau) = \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1(-iz, \tau)\right) + 2z^2\frac{i}{\tau}\arctan(-i\tau)\right]. \tag{26}$$

This function extends Φ^T from $\mathbb{R}^2 \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 6.2. Fixing $1 > r > 0$ and $z_j = re^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(2j+1)}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ let:

$$\kappa(z, \tau) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} (-1)^i \Psi(z - z_i, \tau). \tag{27}$$



FIGURE 3. The fundamental domain of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

This function extends Θ from $\mathbb{R}^2 \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{F}$.

Note that

$$\kappa(0,\tau) = \operatorname{Im}\Big[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1(iz_0,\tau)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1(-iz_0,\tau)\right) - \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1(iz_1,\tau)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1(-iz_1,\tau)\right)\right) + 8r^2 i\frac{i}{\tau}\arctan(-i\tau)\Big]. \tag{28}$$

A simple test proves the following curious result

Lemma 6.3. *The function κ is harmonic with respect to both variables. Namely:*

1. *Given the flat Laplacian Δ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and writing $z = x + iy$ we have $\Delta\kappa(x+iy,\tau) \equiv 0$.*
2. *Given the hyperbolic Laplacian Δ_0, as in the appendix, and writing $\tau = x + iy$ we have $\Delta_0\kappa(z, x+iy) \equiv 0$.*

The function $\kappa(0,\tau)$ is a function on $\mathcal{F}$, we now prove that it can be extended to an harmonic Maass form of wight 0 with respect to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We recall that for any $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ there exists $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\tau = \gamma \cdot \tau_0$. Moreover, unless $\tau_0 \in \partial\mathcal{F}$ the element γ is unique.

Definition 6.4. For any $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ let $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\tau = \gamma \cdot \tau_0$. Let

$$\Omega(\tau) = \Omega(\gamma \cdot \tau_0) = \kappa(0,\tau_0).$$

One notes that

1. Ω is well defined due to:

   Claim: For any $\tau \in \partial\mathcal{F}$ it holds that $\kappa(0,-\overline{\tau}) = \kappa(0,\tau)$.

   Indeed, we recall that Γ is generated by the elements $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and also that any element $\tau \in \partial\mathcal{F}$ is either of the form $\pm\frac{1}{2} + iy$ for $y > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ or $|\tau| = 1$.

(a) For $\tau = \pm\frac{1}{2} + iy$ we have $\tau + 1 = -\overline{\tau}$.
(b) For $|\tau| = 1$ we have $-\frac{1}{\tau} = -\overline{\tau}$.

Proof of claim. First note that

$$8r^2 i \frac{i}{-\overline{\tau}} \arctan\left(i\overline{\tau}\right) = -\overline{8r^2 i \frac{i}{\tau} \arctan\left(-i\tau\right)},$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[8r^2 i \frac{i}{-\overline{\tau}} \arctan\left(i\overline{\tau}\right)\right] = -\operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{8r^2 i \frac{i}{\tau} \arctan\left(-i\tau\right)}\right] = \operatorname{Im}\left[8r^2 i \frac{i}{\tau} \arctan\left(-i\tau\right)\right].$$

On the other hand by the properties of ϑ, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_1\left(z, -\overline{\tau}\right) &= -e^{-\frac{1}{4}\pi i\overline{\tau} + \pi i\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \vartheta\left(z + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\tau}, -\overline{\tau}\right) \\
&= -\overline{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i\tau - \pi i\left(\overline{z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}} \overline{\vartheta\left(\overline{z} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\tau, \tau\right)} \\
&= -e^{2\pi i\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \overline{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i\tau + \pi i\left(\overline{z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \vartheta\left(\overline{z} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\tau, \tau\right)} = e^{2\pi i\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \overline{\vartheta_1\left(\overline{z}, \tau\right)},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_i, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right) = \operatorname{Log}\left(e^{-2\pi i z_i} \overline{i\vartheta_1\left(i\overline{z_i}, \tau\right)}\right) = \overline{\operatorname{Log}\left(e^{2\pi i\overline{z_i}} i\vartheta_1\left(-i\left(-\overline{z_i}\right), \tau\right)\right)}.$$

We note that

$$-\overline{z_0} = z_1, \ -\overline{z_1} = z_0, \ -\overline{z_2} = z_3, \ -\overline{z_3} = z_2,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(iz_0, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_0, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right)\right) \\
&- \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(iz_1, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_1, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right)\right) \\
&= \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(iz_1, \tau\right)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_1, \tau\right)\right)\right) \\
&- \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(iz_0, \tau\right)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_0, \tau\right)\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(iz_0, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_0, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right)\right. \\
&\left. - \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(iz_1, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_1, -\overline{\tau}\right)\right)\right)\right] \\
&= \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(iz_0, \tau\right)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_0, \tau\right)\right)\right. \\
&\left. - \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(iz_1, \tau\right)\right) + \operatorname{Log}\left(i\vartheta_1\left(-iz_1, \tau\right)\right)\right)\right],
\end{aligned}$$

hence the claim follows. □

(2) Ω is smooth since κ is smooth on $\mathcal{F}$ and for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the function $\tau \mapsto \gamma \cdot \tau$ is smooth. It is smooth on $\Gamma \cdot \partial\mathcal{F}$ by the discussion of item (1).

(3) By the construction, Ω is Γ-invariant, namely for any $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\Omega\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) \equiv \Omega\left(\tau\right).$$

(4) $\Delta_0\Omega \equiv 0$ since the composition of an harmonic function and a conformal map is again harmonic. Indeed, let τ, τ_0 and γ be as in the definition of Ω and let $\tau = x+iy$ and $\tau_0 = x_0+iy_0$. As a Möbius transformation, γ^{-1} is conformal and holomorphic at a neighbourhood of τ_0. We write $\gamma^{-1}\cdot\tau' = u(\tau')+iv(\tau')$ as the real and imaginary part of this map at this neighborhood. It then holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
y^2\Delta_0\Omega(\tau) &= (\Omega_{xx}+\Omega_{yy})(\tau)\\
&= \left[\left(\kappa\circ\gamma^{-1}\right)_{xx}+\left(\kappa\circ\gamma^{-1}\right)_{yy}\right](\tau)\\
&= \left[\left(\kappa_u\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot u_x+\kappa_v\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot v_x\right)_x+\left(\kappa_u\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot u_y+\kappa_v\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot v_y\right)_y\right](\tau)\\
&= \Big[\Big(\kappa_{uu}\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot(u_x)^2+2\kappa_{uv}\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot u_xv_x+\kappa_u\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot u_{xx}+\kappa_{vv}\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot(v_{xx})^2+\kappa_v\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot v_{xx}\Big)\\
&+\Big(\kappa_{uu}\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot(u_y)^2+2\kappa_{uv}\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot u_yv_y+\kappa_u\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot u_{yy}+\kappa_{vv}\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot(v_{yy})^2+\kappa_v\circ\gamma^{-1}\cdot v_{yy}\Big)\Big](\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

We now recall that by the holomorphicity of γ^{-1} it follows that u and v are harmonic and satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations, namely

$$u_x = -v_y,\ u_y = v_x,\ u_{xx}+u_{yy} = v_{xx}+v_{yy} = 0.$$

Plugging this into the above equation yields

$$y^2\Delta_0\Omega(\tau) = [\kappa_{uu}+\kappa_{vv}](\tau_0)\left[(u_x)^2+(v_x)^2\right](\tau) = 0,$$

since κ is harmonic.

It follows that:

Proposition 6.5. *Ω is a Maass wave form is a (real valued) Maass wave form of weight 0 for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with eigenvalue 0. Namely Ω is an harmonic Maass form of weight 0 of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Remark 6.6. We note that for $0<r<1$ we have $\Omega(ir) = \kappa(0,ir)$ due to 3.6.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Eitan Bachmat for useful discussions. DA and EG acknowledge support from the Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 401/12), the Binational Science Foundation (Grant No. 2014345) and the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under Grant No. 303742.

References

[1] Victor S. Adamchik. Polygamma functions of negative order. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 100(2):191 – 199, 1998.

[2] Daniel Ariad, Eytan Grosfeld, and Babak Seradjeh. Effective theory of vortices in two-dimensional spinless chiral p-wave superfluids. *Phys. Rev. B*, 92:035136, Jul 2015.

[3] John Bardeen and MJ Stephen. Theory of the motion of vortices in superconductors. *Physical Review*, 140(4A):A1197, 1965.

[4] J M Kosterlitz. The critical properties of the two-dimensional xy model. *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 7(6):1046, 1974.

[5] J M Kosterlitz and D J Thouless. Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems. *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 6(7):1181, 1973.

[6] N. Read. Non-abelian adiabatic statistics and hall viscosity in quantum hall states and $p_x + ip_y$ paired superfluids. *Phys. Rev. B*, 79:045308, Jan 2009.

[7] N.M. Temme. *Special Functions: An Introduction to the Classical Functions of Mathematical Physics*. Wiley, 2011.

Appendix A. Notations

A.1. **A Few Complex Functions.** For $z = x + iy = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ the principal value of the logarithm is given by

$$\operatorname{Log} z := \ln r + i\theta,$$

where θ is taken in $(\pi, \pi]$. We note that $\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Log} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ is not continues as a function, however

$$\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Log} : \mathbb{C} \to {}^{\mathbb{R}}\Big/_{2\pi}$$

is a continuous function. Also note that for $R > 0$ it holds that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}(Rz)\right] = \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}(z)\right].$$

It can also be written as

$$\operatorname{Log} z = \ln|z| + i \operatorname{Arg} z,$$

where Arg stand for the principal value of the function. This connection can also be written as

$$\operatorname{Arg} z = \frac{i}{2}\left(\operatorname{Log} z - \operatorname{Log}\overline{z}\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Log}(z)\right].$$

We note here that Arg is well defined and smooth as a function to ${}^{\mathbb{R}}\Big/_{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$. We also recall that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ we have $\operatorname{atan2}(y, x) = \operatorname{Arg}(x + iy)$. On the other hand, $\arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$ is well defined as a smooth function ${}^{\mathbb{R}}\Big/_{\pi\mathbb{Z}}$. The argument is a lift of $\arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$ in the following sense

(29)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & {}^{\mathbb{R}}\Big/_{2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \\ & \overset{\operatorname{atan2}(y,x)}{\nearrow} & \big\downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^2 & \xrightarrow[\arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)]{} & {}^{\mathbb{R}}\Big/_{\pi\mathbb{Z}} \end{array}.$$

We note here that $\operatorname{Log}\overline{z} = \overline{\operatorname{Log} z}$, $\sinh\overline{z} = \overline{\sinh z}$, etc.

A.2. **Flat Cylinders and Flat Tori.** In this paper we consider flat cylinders and tori. We denote $\widehat{x} = (1, 0), \widehat{y} = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For $q_y > 0$ we consider the *flat cylinder*

(30)
$$C = {}^{\mathbb{R}^2}\Big/_{q_y\mathbb{Z}\widehat{y}}$$

endowed with a flat metrics. This Riemannian manifold can be realized in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

Remark A.1. At some point, we will also be interested in the cylinder ${}^{\mathbb{R}}\Big/_{q_x\mathbb{Z}\widehat{x}}$ for $q_x > 0$.

For $q_x, qy > 0$, we consider the *flat right-angled torus*

(31)
$$T = {}^{\mathbb{R}^2}\Big/_{(q_x\mathbb{Z}\widehat{x} + q_y\mathbb{Z}\widehat{y})}$$

endowed with a flat metrics. This Riemannian manifold can also be realized in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

Remark A.2. We note that the torus is quotient space of the cylinder in the following sense



We are also interested in more general flat tori. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ we let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$, a *flat torus* is given by $\mathbb{C}\Big/_{\Lambda}$.

A.3. **Number Theoretic Functions.** For any $z, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\tau > 0$ we define the Jacobi theta function to be

$$\vartheta(z,\tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2} w^{2n}, \tag{32}$$

where $q = e^{\pi i \tau}$ and $w = e^{\pi i z}$. The Jacobi theta function satisfies the following functional equation

$$\vartheta(z+1,\tau) = \vartheta(z,\tau)$$

and more generally,

$$\vartheta(z+a+b\tau,\tau) = e^{-\pi i b^2\tau - 2\pi i b z}\vartheta(z,\tau) \quad \forall a,b \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{33}$$

The Jacobi triple product formula states that

$$\vartheta(z,\tau) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1-q^{2m}\right)\left(1+w^2 q^{2m-1}\right)\left(1+w^{-2}q^{2m-1}\right). \tag{34}$$

We further recall that

$$\vartheta\left(\frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau}\, e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau} i z^2}\vartheta(z,\tau). \tag{35}$$

Note that $\sqrt{\cdot}$ is well-defined for $\operatorname{Re}\tau > 0$ as the upper-half plane is a simply connected domain not containing 0.

Zeros of $\vartheta(z,\tau)$ occur when $z \in \left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\tau + \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. We further note that

Lemma A.3. *The Jacobi theta function ϑ transforms under the following rules*

(1) $\vartheta(z,\tau) = \vartheta(-z,\tau)$.
(2) $\vartheta(z,-\overline{\tau}) = \overline{\vartheta(\overline{z},\tau)}$.

Proof. (1) Indeed, by making a change of variable $n' = -n$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta(-z,\tau) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i n^2\tau - 2\pi i n z} \\ &= \sum_{n'=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i n'^2\tau - 2\pi i n' z} = \vartheta(z,\tau).\end{aligned}$$

(2) Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta(z,-\overline{\tau}) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi i n^2\overline{\tau}+2\pi i n z} \\ &= \overline{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i n^2\tau-2\pi i n\overline{z}}} \\ &= \overline{\sum_{n'=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i n'^2\tau+2\pi i n'\overline{z}}} = \overline{\vartheta(\overline{z},\tau)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

For any $q, s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(q) > 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ we le the Hurwitz zeta function be

$$\zeta_q(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(q+n)^s}.$$

This series converges absolutely and for any q it defines an analytic function in s that admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$. Taking $q = 1$ yields the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \zeta_1(s)$.

A.4. **Maass Wave Forms.** Let Γ be a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition A.4. We say that a function $\Omega : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a *Maass wave form* of weight k for Γ with eigenvalue s if

(1) Ω is a smooth function.

(2) For any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\Omega\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^k \Omega(\tau). \tag{36}$$

(3) The function Ω is an eigen-function of the weight k hyperbolic Laplacian

$$\Delta_k = -y^2\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) + iky\frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Namely, there exists $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\Delta_k \Omega = s\Omega. \tag{37}$$

(4) The function Ω is of moderate growth at the cusps, namely it is of polynomial growth when approaching 0 and ∞.